

The
RHODEO



The cigarette that's different!
GOLD LEAF
Honey Dew

With the flavour that pleases!

THE RHODEO.

EDITORIAL.

Founders Day has passed, and with its passing there has returned to Rhodes some knowledge of the extra-mural activities of undergraduates. The happy-go-lucky atmosphere veiled the serious object of the day: the Settlers' Hospital will benefit to the tune of an estimated £600 and although this figure does not attain to the record figure of £679 for last year, it nevertheless reflects very creditably upon the Rag Committee's efforts. The assistance and generosity of the Air Force in 1945, will account easily for the discrepancy.

It is learned with much pride, and from very reliable sources that the floats were as good as, if not better than, those of previous years! Grocott's Daily Mail reported the procession as beautiful in the extreme. Moreover, from Rhodes' point of view, it was very enjoyable - rather spoiled by the lengthy proceedings of the Mock Trial. Apparently the visual scene from the judges' table was more amusing than the auditory: there was a dearth of wit and the microphone was ineffective.

The Inky Parade augurs well for the future of the College and it is most encouraging to see so much Inky initiative and drive, showing a very real trend towards the re-establishment of those Rhodian traditions lost since the return of more staid and stolid students. The Parade owed some of its success to Messrs Kelly, Coetzee and Neddy - the last of whom only bucked properly to the tune of "Shu-shu-shu-Dixon."

The Beer Race was a complete Failure. It was a shame to have seen such talent as Mr Knoesen obviously possesses, go by the board. Mr Mackay won the race in 32 seconds, whereas Mr Knoesen's bottle was drained neatly and efficiently in 14.4 seconds, which included also, the journeys to and from the bottle-line. The Rhodexo deprecates most severely this disqualification on a purely technical point, for this performance was quite remarkable. Mr Errol Brill was unfortunate in finding a bottle of water in lieu, but we beg to suggest that, at that late stage of the proceedings, he was fortunate in being able to distinguish the difference. (The water hasn't done him any good either.)

Our sincere thanks are extended to Professor and Mrs D. Hobart-Houghton, the Host and Hostess at a very enjoyable Ball. The non-stop music saved the usual overcrowding of the Great Hall - and it is interesting to note that there were more people at Founders Ball than at any other previous to it.

One o'clock saw the end of a perfect day, and a still more perfect evening. We enquired from strangely-dressed students whether they had enjoyed themselves: forty-six of them gave the same answer in the same words - "I think it ish the nishest dansh I've ever danshed in!"

.....

ANGLICAN CLUB

The Anglican Club is arranging a Teaching Convention to be held in the U.E.D. Lecture Room this week. Meetings will be held each day, from Tuesday to Friday at 5.15 p.m. and on Tuesday and Friday Evenings at 8 p.m. The purpose is to present the Catholic Faith as held by the Anglican Church and all interested are invited to attend. The meetings will be addressed by the Archdeacon of Kimberley, the Venerable Fr. H.E. Wraige, and will be quite informal. Free discussion will follow each address.

"THE MORE PROGRESSIVE FIRMS ADVERTISE."

THE RHODEO


S.R.C. MEETING .

To one who had been convinced by THE OUTLOOK that Rhodes was apathetic and quite indifferent to matters of real importance, the turn-out at the General Meeting last Monday night was distinctly encouraging. There were probably about 600 students in the Great Hall. But as we passed through the Drosty Arch there were nevertheless, several furtive figures slinking down the crazy-pavement to worship their celluloid gods.

After a preliminary skirmish about the minutes, Mr D.R. BUTLER rose to propose the motion which suggested that the problem of non-Europeans entering Rhodes be discussed more fully. In a clear and resonant voice he outlined the necessity for this question receiving adequate consideration from each student. Both Mr BUTLER and Mr PROSSER who seconded the motion, insisted that they were dealing merely with a point of procedure which had to be settled before the main issue could be discussed. Their sentiments apparently had the full support of the house, since their motion with Mr BETTISON's amendment that a 50% poll should make the ballot valid, was passed unanimously.

The motion being open for general discussion, Mr HARRIS, in an ornate but very sincere address, pointed out the bitterness which would inevitably result if non-Europeans were permitted to enjoy intellectual equality while they were denied any social rights at Rhodes. He insisted that the generally-acknowledged aim of lifting up the non-European population of S.A. would be better served if Native educational institutions were extended; and suggested that Rhodes' positive contribution towards this, PTO

THE HIRD INSTINCT. —



SUPPORT THE FARMERS HOME.

should take the form of a Native Students' Relief Fund. All those who spoke in opposition to the admission of natives to Rhodes, took pains to declare that they were not against Non-European Education as such, but merely insisted that the means to attain such an end was to be found in separate Native Colleges and Universities. Among others who spoke along these lines were Mr HUTTON (the Educationalist), Mr BRUNT, and Mr STUMBLES. Messrs HOFMEYR and DOLD, together with Miss DU TOIT, stressed the disunity between the two European elements in South Africa which would follow the further admission of native students to the Universities.

Those in favour of the motion put forward a variety of views. Messrs SULTER and DAVENPORT pointed out that Christian ethics did not recognise social discrimination. A throaty-voiced atheist Mr ROONEY, assured the house that he too, ... condemned race-prejudice for reasons which we cannot at the moment recollect. Others, among whom were Mr CALVIN COOK, stated that in their view social equality was bound to come sooner or later and that a start should be made by allowing the more educated element of the native population to enter Rhodes. Towards the end of the debate, Mr DENT, whom I think was somewhat cryptically neutral, wished every member of the House good luck in casting their votes! Presuming that 50% of the electorate do vote we shall know the outcome on Monday.

Generally speaking, I think it was obvious that the House was sincerely attempting to wrestle with this most difficult of our national problems. There were few red herrings and all points of view were fairly treated. Thanks to the excellent control which the Chairman exerted throughout the meeting. I came away with a feeling that if a subject which affords such opportunities for display of prejudice, and personal animosity could be debated in a fair and tolerant objective spirit, there is something of real value in this Democracy to which we all pay lip-service.

RESULT OF THE SECRET BALLOT TO DECIDE WHETHER NON-EUROPEAN STUDENTS BE ADMITTED TO RHODES : Votes in favour of the Motion : 374
 Votes against : 499
 80.6 of the Electorate voted.

Annual Subscriptions through A. & O. P. save you money!

Mr SINCLAIR was asked when he thought the E.P. Rugby side would be picked. He replied that it would not be until he was fit.

From RHODES RADIO:

"Mr RHEEDER denies emphatically that he is one of the little pink men the elephant saw."

Mr MACINTOSH says that essays which are handed in late can be excused if they are written in the past tense.
 (Is there any difference between past and perfect?)

Who hung the Drostdy beer-mugs on the clock-tower?

We take off our hats to the OUTLOOK for taking our last editorial so seriously.

SCOPE NIGHT: Who is the man who wears a cat in his hat?

OVERHEARD (and Brian doesn't mind.): 1st Mole: Have you seen a chap with one eye called Norman?

2nd Mole: What's the name of his other eye?

Save up to 50% or more on single-issue prices of the leading American and British Periodicals—write for our latest Price List.

PUBLICATION	Weekly	3 yrs.	2 yrs.	1 yr.
Time	Weekly	95/-	65/-	35/-
Life	Weekly	145/-	97/6	50/-
Architectural Forum	Monthly	80/-	55/-	30/-
Fortune	Monthly	120/-	85/-	60/-
Look	Bi-weekly	52/6	37/6	20/-
Coronet	Monthly	37/6	25/-	15/-
Collier's	Weekly	87/6	60/-	32/6
Science Digest	Monthly	—	30/-	17/6
Magazine Digest	Monthly	32/-	22/9	13/6
Down Beat	Monthly	50/-	35/-	20/-
Popular Mechanics	Monthly	45/-	32/6	17/6
Esquire	Monthly	87/6	65/-	37/6
Reader's Digest	Monthly	—	20/-	12/-
(London Edition)	Monthly	50/-	35/-	20/-
American Magazine	Weekly	120/-	80/-	40/-
Saturday Evening Post	Monthly	70/-	47/6	25/-
Omnibook	Monthly	—	—	40/-
Vogue (British)	Monthly	45/-	30/-	15/-
Ladies' Home Journal	Monthly	67/6	47/6	27/6
Good Housekeeping	Monthly	—	—	—

Subscriptions (which include postage) are sent Air Mail to our principals, who post periodicals direct to the individual.

COUPON

A. & O. P., Box 260,
Port Elizabeth.

I enclose
 send

to

State No. of Years required.

American & Overseas Publications

Authorised South African
Representatives,

P.O. Box 260, Port Elizabeth.
 Offices: 510 Southern Life
 Buildings, Main Street,
 PORT ELIZABETH.

This Agency is run by an Old Rhodian

THE RHODEO

LETTERS TO THE EDITORS -

RHODES AND NUSAS

The Editor - RHODEO.

Dear Sir:

The first few editions of your magazine at the beginning of the year gave us the impression that it was merely an amusing, if not somewhat scurrilous "rag". Of late, however, a serious and sensible note has crept in, and it is now realised that your paper is a valuable asset to Rhodes.

I refer to your recent, superb editorial on the subject of the deliberate suppression of facts in regard to the NUSAS Conference held in Johannesburg last vacation. In it, you revealed the true facts of what, apparently, was a fiasco; facts which came as a surprise to many of us, and which have been most unwillingly corroborated by the Editor of the Rhodes OUTLOOK. Not only has this gentleman corroborated these facts fully, but has also somewhat minimised them, and those who know the full story of the Conference and not merely astounded but appalled by it.

Your magazine, Mr Editor, is a very valuable asset to us, because it gives us the opportunity of hearing the other side of the question. All periodicals are in some way biased towards a certain policy and one cannot blame the Rhodes OUTLOOK for being somewhat biased itself, but, criticism is the very essence of our democratic institution, and the Editor of the Rhodes OUTLOOK, with his staff, must realise that it is so.

The Editor of the OUTLOOK deplores the fact that the 'fiasco' of the NUSAS Conference has at long last been revealed. It had evidently been hoped that the wool, so successfully placed over our eyes, would remain there for a much longer period. He gives us two reasons for the suppression of facts in connection with an incident which, it is alleged, took place at the 'fiasco.' Firstly, that "at a time when our own NUSAS delegates desperately needed the goodwill of this University, it was WISELY decided that no good ~~xxxx~~ could come of publicising it unnecessarily." Secondly, that the matter was not sufficiently important and that "the reporter of the Rhodes OUTLOOK was personally convinced that it did not deserve sensational coverage."

All reports are agreed that the road of the Conference was a long and a bitter one, and no one can deny that our own well-meaning delegates were desperately in need of the good-will of this University; but surely, if our own NUSAS representatives wished to have our good-will, it was a fatal policy to attempt to hide the full facts from us. It immediately created suspicion and mistrust, which surely defeats their own ends, and which is, in no way, in the best interests of NUSAS.

In spite of the evident opinion of the Editor of the Rhodes OUTLOOK and his reporter to the contrary, we are reasonable people, and should have been able to judge the importance of issues arising out of the Conference for ourselves. Owing to the fact that this University is a member of NUSAS everyone of us here is a member of that organization, WHETHER WE LIKE IT OR NOT. We may not all take an active part in its activities, but we are netitled to have a full report on its actions, however bad that report may appear. Consequently, a full report of the Conference should have been published, immediately this term commenced. Instead, the facts were completely suppressed! It was up to all of us to judge whether the incident referred to was of importance, and the opinions of one single reporter need not necessarily have been the opinions of us all.

P.T.O.

THE RHODEO

RHODES AND NUSAS continued

This same Editor described the incident as a mere "pin-prick" in procedure, and he claims that "the back-biting and bad feeling" was due to the conscientious efforts of some of our own delegates to turn 'NON-RACIALIST NUSAS' into "an hysterical arena of bitter controversy." He further states that "the whole unfortunate incident, now forced into the limelight, has served only to reflect badly on certain of our own delegates." In fact those who had the courage of their convictions are censured because they offered a line of action which was completely distasteful to their principles and their sense of justice.

We are all entitled to our opinions on the importance of this matter but, the fact that a large number of delegates went to the Zionist Rally in order, it is alleged, to condone by implication, such acts as the callous and unprecedented murder of a number of innocent persons in the King David Hotel outrage, when at the same time they were absenting themselves from a Conference based on liberal and humanitarianist principles, cannot be reconciled!

NUSAS COULD BE A MOST USEFUL INSTITUTION. Even now, a number of well meaning students have its original aims at heart, and a very great deal of useful work has been done, and is being done, to further the interests of the under-privileged in this country. But, it is quite evident that NUSAS, as it is constituted to-day, is being used by unscrupulous persons as a weapon of propaganda. Whatever opinions we may hold as to the significance of the 'incident' in question, we must all agree that all the information on hand proves that NUSAS is, to say the least, meddling in politics. It matters not what type of politics is being meddled in, but what does matter, is that it has been turned into a political institution. Here we are in complete agreement with the Editor of the OUTLOOK. There is NO place for politics in an institution which desires to contribute to the solution of our vital problems. POLITICS IS THE BANE OF SOUTH AFRICA. It took a non-European delegate to remind us of that! But, it is no good merely sitting down and declaring that at least WE shall not allow politics to poison our branch of NUSAS, while we know that other branches of the organisation are still perfectly blatantly using it to further their own diabolical political ends. That is hypocrisy of the worst type!

We should either immediately secede from NUSAS altogether, knowing that our well-constituted and non-political Social Science Group is carrying on the good work which NUSAS has utterly failed to do, or see to it that the political influences exerted by these unscrupulous people are in no way allowed to influence NUSAS. By withdrawing from NUSAS we may lose the advantages of central control and of a co-ordinating policy, but at least we will not be made dupes of a political humbug.

Finally, two plans were submitted to us at the last General Meeting of the SRC for the further education of the non-European. It has already been suggested unofficially that, if these plans are accepted, NUSAS will have an opportunity to 'superintend' them. We feel sure that the proposers of those plans never intended to place the education of the non-European in the hands of a dangerous political organisation, and, if these plans are accepted and are financed by a Memorial Fund, it would be an insult to the men who died fighting against just such an insidious organisation.

Yours etc,

FAIRPLAY

THE RHODEO

The Editor, RHODEO.

Sir,

I wish to focus attention upon a prominently displayed NUSAS notice in the Arts Block, and upon the basis of that notice, to criticise NUSAS executives here, for loose verbiage, for lack of ingenuousness, for introducing propaganda methods into this College, and for being NUSAS.

In the light of the issue upon which we are presently engaged in voting (which I propose to call 'The Native Question,') the notice is important and those who incline toward NUSAS especially, should be shaken by the slipshod and untidy thought behind it.

At the risk of appearing pedantic let us examine critically the aims of NUSAS, laid down in the notice. The first aim is Equality of Educational and Economic Opportunity for all in South Africa, ESPECIALLY THE UNDER-PRIVILEGED. This statement is a singularly apt instance of the ill-considered verbiage ladled out by NUSAS. Equality is everybody and not especially anybody.

The second aim is the use of the knowledge and Culture of the University in the interests of the people as a whole - Admirable.

The third is the study of Deficiencies (should it not read "defects"?) of the present Democratic system in South Africa, and a Consideration of possible remedies.

The aims of equality of opportunity, the use of knowledge in the interests of the people, and the constructive study of our political system in this country seemed to be the avowed aims of NUSAS. If they do not intend to do anything except study these questions, then they are as useless as they have been told they are; If they intend something more, then they are, in the light of the third aim, selfconfessedly, a political body. Which they deny. Perhaps the last aim was also unfortunately worded.

Under the heading of 'NUSAS IN ACTION' appears a list of NUSAS achievements. Here again, I suspect loose talk. There are, according to the notice 10 non-European students taking Night Classes at (in) Rhodes, three of whom are taking B.A.1: a statement which is possibly correct as far as figures are concerned but scarcely true in other respects. (Perhaps they are using a classroom?) There is an appeal for teachers to help them: there is a note of a proposed night school in the location, presumably for non-Europeans, and as a final monumental achievement, the type of NUSAS action, is a list of some half dozen people contacted in connection with project. Six letters - SIX LETTERS - are an achievement worthy of publication.

There is no mention of a school for white workers in the notice. Presumably they are to be allowed to attend the school in the location, a ~~an~~ scheme which is not likely to succeed, since the white worker is even less prone to consider favourably that cessation of segregation which is unacceptable to a large crowd of "cultured and knowledgeable" University students. Practically then, not much of an achievement from the white man's point of view.

"There are 10 non European students in Rhodes taking Night Classes." This statement gives rise to several points for consideration. Firstly, B.A.1 students can obtain excellent correspondence courses Secondly, all this emphasis upon the educational aspect of the NUSAS appears to be a deliberate attempt to enlist sympathy for the motion before the College, and the wording of the clause about non-European students at Rhodes makes one feel that their presence here is already an accomplished fact. If either of these things was their intention, then they are using our notice PTO

THE RHODEO

.....boards for propaganda. No person will deny that they have a right to do so, but it is a system I have come to detest most intensely, and as yet this questionable method of carefully times truth-shading has not presented itself too often at Rhodes. It is not a tradition here: but the people concerned do not consider that so eminent a political strategem should be avoided. They will, no doubt, be able to justify themselves by reference to the comic little pictures which were pinned up a few days ago.

But perhaps the time of publication was just a co-incidence. And perhaps the third aim of NUSAS was badly worded.

I do not wish to impugn the bona-fides of anyone, but I feel that we must at least be honest with ourselves. We have been assured repeatedly that NUSAS is non-political, and that the people concerned ~~are not from NUSAS~~ are not from NUSAS. I do not find myself able to believe that the two unfortunate co-incidences I have mentioned are slips of the pen, when considered in the light of the third, viz. that the proposer of 'the native question' is closely connected with NUSAS. It is as if Mr GROMYKO got up at a Security Council Meeting and said: "I speak purely as a member of this body. I know I am a foreign minister of a great communist country, but that has nothing to do with the case. I demand that Yugo-Slavia be given a Communist Government." Certainly, the people concerned at Rhodes have no patrimonial gain from the motion under discussion but the analogy is clear enough.

In ~~the~~ consideration of the achievements of NUSAS as they are recorded in the Arts Block, I feel that this Society should change its name to THE SOCIETY FOR THE FURTHERANCE OF NATIVE EDUCATION. In this guise, it would, by reason of its augmented honesty, be far more acceptable than at present to any person whose intelligence told him or her that the existence of such a Society was essential for the well-being of the state and less acceptable to those people who feel that there is a virtue in untruth.

Yours etc


(sgd) R.H. LEVISEUR.

.....

We note with interest the storm that has arisen in OUTLOOK circles as a consequence of our last Editorial. It seems to us that the OUTLOOK would be blind to any criticism of NUSAS no matter how justifiable. NUSAS supporters, have, in fact, become so enthusiastic about the ideals for which the body exists that they have become quite incapable of seeing any of its faults. Enough has been revealed to make it clear that a certain element in NUSAS succeeded in completely dominating the last Conference, and no explanation can justify their action in postponing the session to cooperate with delegates who wished to support the Zionist Rally.

This incident is fortunate, in one respect, in that it has served to prove that NUSAS very easily can become the tool of interested partisan philosophy. Let it suffice for us to urge Rhodians to support truly progressive members of NUSAS and to purge it of such elements as are incapable of discerning between true democratic actions and what is nothing but a form of pernicious racialism.

RHODEO CROSSWORD.



Solution to Last Week's Crossword.

Clues Across : 1. Eau de Cologne. 7. Mortification. 8. Teaspoon. 9. Tojo. 12. Sent. 13. Land. 15. Pint. 16. My Mirror. 18. An eye for an eye. 19. Easy to desist.

Clues Down : 2. Avro Anson. 3. Dripping. 4. Chigoe. 5. Loaf. 6. Erne on Eyrie. 7. Meteor Plane. 10. Old Orders. 11. Capitals. 14. My Lord. 17. Rent.

Winner of Last Week's Crossword and 10/-: - Mr K.V. Nixon, The Homestead.

The above Crossword is difficult for those who like them that way. No prize is offered. Next Issue will include an easy one for those who prefer them that way.

Across: 1. Fifty one may be put for this flower. (5) 4. From the dispensary to the beauty parlour in a figured change. (7) 7. 'Tis up and behold for the theatre goer! (3.3.4.) 9. French and Russian may discourage it (5) 10. Suffers from 23 across. (9) 16. By Jingo, what a policy cried the Nazi. (13) 17. Strange flowers to be found on the counter. (3.6.) 21. He returned from India to own a plantation in America. (5) 23. PURE CANCEL. (Anagram). (10) 25. Twice in the nursery for 2 downwards. (7) 26. Use a road to keep this appointment. (5).

Down: 1. Parted! Its to his credit (5). 2. See 25 across. 3. Apparently more powerful than the atomic bomb? (3) 4. An abbreviated George Cross Winner? (5) 5. Have one Guy! (4) 6. Indian guile at the Rodeo? (4.5) 8. Can the female of a species make this? (4.5) 11. Sounds as if this consists of a useful friend to have around. (9) 12. A glass bubble maybe. (4) 13. Myself and a Surrealist wrote this. (5) 14. This man will protect you. (5) 15. This city has the makings of a pilot's first thrill (4) 17. Perhaps you'd prefer to go by boat? (3) 18. A 10 across does this before suffering from 23 across (5) 19. From the sound of it this toothsome morsel is mortal? (5) 20. From parts of a dollar to my lady. (5) 22. A sore in a strike. (4) 24. His wife had all the clues? (3).

CONGRATULATIONS TO CHARLES STOKES ON HIS MARRIAGE TO KATHLEEN LONDDALE WE HOPE HE HAS A VERY ENJOYABLE HONEYMOON BUT, NEVERTHELESS, WE HOPE HE WILL BE BACK FOR THE BORDER BADMINTON MATCH.

OUR CRICKET COACH - AN INTRODUCTION

In 1908 a lon, lean left-handed bat playing for County Kent against Worcester stood facing the determined attack of Arnold, a medium pace bowler, when a rising ball snicked off the end of his bat and struck him in the mouth, dislodging several teeth. With 16 runs to his credit he was forced to retire hurt and Kent with 40 for 4 in reply to Worcester's 365 were in a bad way. Three more wickets fell in quick succession and the follow-on seemed inevitable, when the Kent Captain persuaded the left-hander to return to the wicket. Playing groggily, he watched two more wickets fall until joined by Arthur Fielder the fast bowler and No 11 batsman. It was now all a matter of cricket temperament, and FRANK WOOLLEY, for such was the left-hander's name, possessed just the temperament required. With superb support from FIELDER, WOOLLEY proceeded to take the offensive. Flashing cover drives and the now famous left hook, smashed the Worcestershire attack in a record last wicket partnership of 235 before WOOLLEY was bowled at 187 and FIELDER 112 Not Out. That was to be the first in a long list of English cricket records for FRANK WOOLLEY, but to finish the story of the Kent v Worcester Match first! FIELDER, now thrilled with his batting achievement was quite unplayable when Worcester went in to bat for the second time. With 7 for 40, FIELDER routed Worcester and Kent won the game by an innings and the odd couple of runs.

With this initial record, FRANK WOOLLEY was not to be content, good cricketers seldom are, and he proceeded to carve a niche in English cricket history which must be the envy of any man interested in the game. It is difficult not to use superlatives when speaking of FRANK WOOLLEY and we present the record below without comment, as a simple testimony to a great cricketer.

Played for Kent - 1906 to 1938.

Played for England - 65 Test Matches, (53 of which were consecutive: A record.)

Total number of runs scored - Just over 60000.

Total number of wickets - Just over 2000.

Total number of catches - Just over 1000, (with a record of only 13 dropped.)

Highest County Score - vs Middlesex 287.

Highest Score - vs Hobart in Tasmania 305 Not Out.

English Record - 4 years running, over 100 wickets and 2000 runs per season, a feat which has been achieved by four English cricketers

When not engaged in South Africa, Mr WOOLLEY is the official coach of King's School, Canterbury. We here at Rhodes are proud and pleased to have the services of such an able coach, and once the crying need for more practice nets and a proper turf have been met, we feel sure that Rhodes cricket will improve beyond measure. On behalf of all cricketers at Rhodes, we welcome Mr FRANK WOOLLEY.

MORRISONS

FOR A QUICK DEVELOPING AND PRINTING SERVICE.

WE ARE PLEASED TO ANNOUNCE THAT OUR MODERN PLANT HAS BEEN INSTALLED AND WE SPECIALLY DEVELOP EVERY MAKE AND TYPE OF FILM TO OBTAIN FIRST QUALITY NEGATIVES. ALL NEGATIVES ARE SPECIALLY STUDIED TO ENSURE THE FINEST PRINTS AND ENLARGEMENTS ON THE BEST QUALITY PAPERS. TINTING A SPECIALITY. WE INVITE YOU TO INSPECT OUR WORK.

THE RHODEO

BOOKWORMS.

Books do I love that lie in measured ease
Upon the shelves of College Libraries.
Here earnest students, by sweet learning called,
Consult the tomes: but opening are appalled!
No print to view, no clear defined signs?
Nay! All an obscure sea of pencilled lines
Spread thickly o'er.


Insolent clods and vain-imagining sots
Whose handiwork each valued volume blots,
Thee I deplore.

Inane remarks by foolish scribblers wrote:
"Yes, I agree", "What rot" and then "Take note",
Which by their words depict their little minds,
Ill judgments, criticasters here one finds
Writ by some boor.


Seek e'en the book that's new; from fore to aft
The eye is caught, not by the writer's craft,
But by the marks in all directions blazed
By bogus students who so soon have razed
Art's open door.

One finds the mind subconsciously take hold
On unimportant, ill-picked passages marked bold;
With conscious wrench, impertinence by-passed,
Important bits between are seen at last
Though missed before.

The book's not yours. Why banish with your pen
The unstained joys of reading from our ken?
The damage don, you turn with eager zest
To smear another, book-consuming pest!
The books you've spoiled no more by you are read
Nor cannot by us, their joy has fled.
Seize paper then, smirch not the virgin sheet,
Copy what's apt exam's foul clutch to meet;
Bookworm, defile no more.



"GLAD WE WEREN'T ASKED OLD BOY - MORE TIME"



G.A.F. Edge,
Cartage Contractor,
(as per illustration),
Furniture Removals,
Packing and Storage,
Country Work
(trips to the Kowie etc.),
112 High Street,
Grahamstown.
"Phone 1185".

INSURANCE:

If you want to be insured see F. Murray.

LOST:

On Founders Day one Parker 51 Fountain Pen, Initialled K.B.M.
Please communicate with the Editor.

SPORTS CAUSERIE.

RUGBY: RHODES TROUNCE OLD COLLEGIANS 25-0.

With the wind at their backs, Rhodes walked all over Collegians in the second half of the game. Flashing breaks by Macdonald and Rowles, a one-man try by Zassman, two brilliant tries from Gillmer and excellent kicking by Hanson: these were the highlights of the game.

A feature of the play was the welcome return to wing for Gillmer and to centre for Rowles. Why the original change about was ever effected in the first instance is quite beyond the rugby knowledge of this sports column.

CRICKET: 9 WICKET VICTORY FOR ST. ANDREWS "A".
RHODES "B" ATTACK SEVERELY PUNISHED.

Batting first, Rhodes "B", strengthened by the inclusion of Moore, Birrell and Harris, opened up badly. With 20 for 6, however, Coventry appeared for 15 hectic minutes and with 42 to his credit definitely eased the situation for Rhodes. Zeitsman, Selfe and Harris, the last three batsmen, then added a score of runs apiece and the innings closed for 144.

Birch and Walker opened up the St. Andrews knock, and without being separated passed the Rhodes total. Birch was finally caught at 85 by de Wet off Selfe and the St. Andrews innings finished with the score sheet reading 162 for 1.

SPOT NEWS: RHODES "C" 144. ST. ANDREWS "B" 139.
